

Math 279 Lecture 21 Notes

Daniel Raban

November 4, 2021

1 Two Examples of Regularity Structures

We discuss two models for our theory before treating our ill-posed PDEs.

1.1 Finite Taylor Polynomials

Example 1.1. Let $A = \mathbb{N}$, $T = \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$, with $T_r = \langle X^k : |k| = r \rangle$, and $\|\cdot\|_r$ the standard Euclidean norm. Recall that $\Gamma_{x,y} = \Gamma_{x-y}$, with $\Gamma_h(P(X)) = P(X + h\mathbf{1})$. For our model, $(\Pi_a(P(X)))(x) = P(x - a)$. This gives the model $M = (\Pi, \Gamma)$. We now specify $\mathcal{C}_M^\gamma = \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \bigoplus_{r < \gamma} T_r \mid \|f(x) - \Gamma_{x,y}f(y)\|_r \lesssim |x - y|^{\gamma-r}\}$.

We claim that for any $\gamma > 0$, \mathcal{C}_M^γ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us assume that $\gamma = n + \gamma_0$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{C}_M^\gamma$ means that $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree at most n i.e. $f(x) = \sum_{k:|k| \leq n} a_k(x)X^k$, with (setting $h = x - y$ so that $x = y + h$)

$$\left\| \sum_{k:|k| \leq n} a_k(y+h)X^k - \sum_{k:|k| \leq n} a_k(y)(X + h\mathbf{1})^k \right\|_r \lesssim |h|^{\gamma-r}.$$

For example, if $r = n$,

$$\sum_{k:|k| \leq n} |a_k(y+h) - a_k(y)| \lesssim |h|^{\gamma_0},$$

which means that when $|k| = n$, $a_k(y)$ is γ_0 -Hölder. More generally,

$$\sum_{|\ell|=r} \left| a_\ell(y+h) - \sum_{\substack{k:k \geq \ell \\ |k| \leq n}} \binom{k}{\ell} a_k(y)h^{k-\ell} \right| \lesssim |h|^{\gamma-r}.$$

To ease the notation, assume $d = 1$ and $r = n - 1$. Then we get

$$|a_{n-1}(y+h) - a_{n-1}(y) - na_n(y)h| \lesssim |h|^{\gamma_0+1}$$

Divide by h and send $h \rightarrow 0$ to arrive at: a_{n-1} is differentiable, and $\frac{d}{dy}a_{n-1} = na_n$. Inductively, we can show that

$$a_k(y) = \frac{1}{k!} \partial^k a_0(y).$$

In summary,

$$f(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} a_k(x) X^k \in \mathcal{C}_M^{\gamma_0+h} \iff a_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d), f(x) = \text{Taylor expansion of deg } n \text{ of } a_0.$$

Remark 1.1 (Whitney expansion). Imagine that a closed set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is given and we assign a polynomial $f(x)$ as above to each $x \in K$. If for $x \in K$ the bound

$$\left\| \sum_{k:|k| \leq n} a_k(y+h) X^k - \sum_{k:|k| \leq n} a_k(y) (X+h\mathbf{1})^k \right\|_r \lesssim |h|^{\gamma-r}.$$

holds, then $f(x)$ can serve as a candidate for the Taylor expansion of a suitable function $a_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for $x \in K$, $f(x)$ is indeed its Taylor expansion.

1.2 The Gubinelli derivative

Example 1.2. Pick $\alpha \in (1/3, 1/2)$, and choose $A = \{\alpha - 1, 2\alpha - 1, 0, \alpha\}$. Note that $r = 1$, i.e. the integer -1 is the best lower bound for A . We define

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle, & T_\alpha &= \langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_\ell \rangle, \\ T_{\alpha-1} &= \langle \dot{X}_1, \dot{X}_2, \dots, \dot{X}_\ell \rangle, & T_{2\alpha-1} &= \langle \dot{X}^{i,j} : 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so $T = \bigoplus_{\beta \in A} T_\beta$ has $\dim T = (\ell + 1)^2$. Here, these are all just formal symbols, but we have written the notation to be suggestive. Next, $G = \{\Gamma_h : h \in \mathbb{R}^\ell\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_h \mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{1}, & \Gamma_h X &= X + h\mathbf{1}, \\ \Gamma_h \dot{X} &= \dot{X}, & \Gamma_h \dot{X} &= \dot{X} + h \otimes \dot{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we define a model. Given a rough path $\mathbf{x} = (x, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{R}_{\alpha, 2\alpha}$, i.e. $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, $\mathbb{X}(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$, and Chen's relation. We build a model as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi_s \mathbf{1})(t) &= 1, & (\Pi_s X_i)(t) &= x_i(s, t) = x_i(t) - x_i(s), \\ (\Pi_s \dot{X}_i)(\underbrace{\psi}_{\in \mathcal{D}}) &= (\dot{x}_i)(\psi) = - \int \dot{\psi}(t) x_i(t) dt, \\ (\Pi_s \dot{X}^{i,j})(\psi) &= (\mathbb{X}_t^{i,j}(s, \cdot))(\psi) = - \int \dot{\psi}(t) \mathbb{X}^{i,j}(s, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$\Gamma_{s,s'} = \Gamma_{x(s',s)}.$$

We need to verify a number of things:

- $\langle \Pi_s, \varphi_s^\delta \rangle = \int ((x(t) - x(s))\varphi(\frac{t-s}{\delta})^\frac{1}{\delta} \lesssim \delta^\alpha$, which follows from $x \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$.

- Similarly,

$$(\Pi_s(\dot{\mathbb{X}})(\varphi_s^\delta) = - \int \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_s(t) \mathbb{X}(s, t) dt = -\frac{1}{\delta} \int \dot{\varphi}(\theta) \mathbb{X}(s, s + \delta\theta) d\theta.$$

Hence,

$$|(\Pi_s \dot{\mathbb{X}})(\varphi_s^\delta)| \lesssim [\mathbb{X}]_{2\alpha} \delta^{2\alpha-1} \|\varphi\|_{C^1}.$$

- Next, we need to check $\Pi_{s'} = \Pi_s \Gamma_{s, s'}$. Indeed,

$$(\Pi_{s'} \dot{\mathbb{X}})(\psi) = - \int \dot{\psi}(t) \mathbb{X}(s', t) dt,$$

$$(\Pi_s \Gamma_{s, s'} \dot{\mathbb{X}})(\psi) = - \int \dot{\psi}(t) (\mathbb{X}(s, t) + x(s', s) \otimes x(t)) dt$$

Since ψ is of 0 average,

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \int \dot{\psi}(t) (\mathbb{X}(s, t) + x(s', s) \otimes x(s, t)) dt \\ &= - \int \dot{\psi}(t) (\mathbb{X}(s, t) + \mathbb{X}(s', s) + x(s', s) \otimes x(s, t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

By Chen's relation,

$$= \int \dot{\psi}(t) \mathbb{X}(s', t) dt,$$

as desired.

Next, we examine $\mathcal{C}_M^{2\alpha}$. Assume $Y \in \mathcal{C}_M^{2\alpha}$ is of the form

$$Y(t) = y(t)\mathbf{1} + \hat{y}(t) \cdot X.$$

We claim that $T \in \mathcal{C}_M^{2\alpha}$ if and only if $\mathbf{y} = (y, \hat{y}) \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, 2\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ (i.e. \hat{y} is a Gubinelli derivative of y). Indeed,

$$\|Y(t) - \Gamma_{t, t'} Y(t')\|_r \lesssim |t - t'|^{2\alpha-r}.$$

This is

$$\|y(t)\mathbf{1} + \hat{y}(t) \cdot X - (y(t')\mathbf{1} + \hat{y}(t') \cdot (X + x(t', t)\mathbb{K}))\| \lesssim |t - t'|^{2\alpha-1}.$$

Choose $r = \alpha$. Then

$$|\hat{y}(t) - \hat{y}(t')| \lesssim |t - t'|^\alpha,$$

i.e. $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$. Next, choose $r = 0$. We get

$$|y(t) - y(t') - \hat{y}(t')x(t', t)| \lesssim |t - t'|^{2\alpha}.$$

Imagine that we want to make sense of $\mathbf{y} \cdot d\mathbf{x}$. This should really be the realization of $Y \cdot \dot{X}$. We will give a candidate for the abstract multiplication and recover our previous results using the reconstruction theorem.